The meeting began with introductions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOC</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Impacted FOC Capability</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Action Item Description</th>
<th>Responsible Agency/Team</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>6/24/10</td>
<td>FOC-24</td>
<td>Review Parallel v. Sequential Searches</td>
<td>Evaluate the impact of sequential searches on US-VISIT stakeholder SLAs</td>
<td>US-VISIT</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>7/22/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>7/22/10</td>
<td>FOC-24</td>
<td>Update CJIS Record Linking Swimlane Diagram</td>
<td>CJIS to update swimlane diagram to include IDENT data filtering and de-coupling of the match response and link notification (after RL-13).</td>
<td>CJIS</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>8/5/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>7/22/10</td>
<td>FOC-24</td>
<td>Record Linking on Non-Criminal Justice Searches Initiated by CJIS</td>
<td>CJIS to hold internal discussion regarding whether CJIS intends to create record links based on non-criminal justice searches (e.g., DOS OPSS)</td>
<td>CJIS</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>8/5/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
began discussions regarding the above Action Item list.

**AI 126**
Another two weeks was added onto the target date per It remains open.

**AI 139**

stated that the target date for this item will be changed to 9/2. It remains open.

**AI 140**

stated that this was internally discussed with DOS OPSS records are civil on the CJIS side. stated that if there was a hit on identification when CJIS had a criminal hit, CJIS would send that record to US-VISIT and link on it as a civil transactions. The same would occur for the OPM transactions. asked how US-VISIT would be able to notify CJIS if there were any updates. stated that if CJIS had a civil fingerprint, and later a criminal fingerprint for the same person, CJIS would send the record. stated that US-VISIT would still need to send CJIS all of the daily transactions, which does not help their volume. stated that if the criminal fingerprints are obtained after the civil fingerprints are, CJIS would send it to US-VISIT and link on it: stated that the OPM searches are only of identity. When a search is done in IAFIS, it could hit but CJIS does not link it with US-VISIT. US-VISIT asked if additional process flows would need to be developed for this. stated that this idea might not be necessary. If the criminal search is conducted, CJIS will enroll it in IDENT and link on it. If there is an IAFIS hit on a civil file, there is a no match response and no linking. That identification is not disseminated to US-VISIT. stated that this topic will need to be discussed internally within US-VISIT.
This action item remains open.

AI 141 asked if US-VISIT stores no-matches. answered yes. US-VISIT stated that they do parallel searches, not sequential, so they need to store the no-matches. stated that in the APB paper, it is stated that record linking searches will go over. stated that they can opt out of receiving responses but they cannot opt out of sending over a search. stated that the concern for US-VISIT is that there are gaps if they don't research when there is a no match. stated that two requirements are needed. Secure Communities is not fully rolled out and there will be a gap. Interoperability is fully implemented and is fine with searching a no match. stated that US-VISIT established a no-match requirement a few years ago to clean out the no-matches from the system. stated that they are looking at making it a date stamp and have a no-match function. stated that this will work for a no-match. stated that if there is a no-match on the criminal side, US-VISIT would send it to CJIS. agreed.

AI 142 stated that if CJIS does not do the initial syncing, we will need a bulk list of FBI numbers, FIN, and fingerprints to sync CJIS's system and US-VISIT's system and create a link.

This item remains open.

AI 143 This item remains open.

AI 144 This item is closed.

AI 165 This item remains open.

AI 166 This item remains open.

Process Flow Diagram read the General Assumption. She stated that this will have to be reviewed internally.

SEQ-14: suggested that US-VISIT create their own FBI number and FIN. stated that US-VISIT is mandated that they cannot share information. She stated that this was an action item at the last meeting. There were prior discussions where these requirements were developed.
SEQ-15: This may change. If there is a successful response and no link, US-VISIT does not have to send another search. If it is a successful search, there remains an open question.

SEQ-18: stated that if no one asks for a response, US-VISIT does not send one.

SEQ-19: stated that a list of questions will be compiled and submitted to CJIS. There needs to be more internal discussion. It may need to be reworded to say "no criminal match". If there is no link, US-VISIT has limited action available to them. If no one requests a response, US-VISIT will not send one. Discussion needs to occur internally for US-VISIT to decide if they need to separate the message and the link.

Action Items
1. Update the forms (process flows)
2. US-VISIT to compile questions and submit to CJIS
3. US-VISIT to discuss AI140 internally

Meeting adjourned.