Secure Communities Internal Meeting  
8/30/10  
1 – 2:05 p.m.

Attendees

began the meeting. There were two handouts: ORI Validation Process and LEA/ORI Deployment.

Update

Opting Out

stated that Interoperability WILL occur between CJIS and US-VISIT. There is no option for “opting out” of I/O. The state and local law enforcement agencies who submit CAR transactions will only be able to “opt out” of the responses. She stated that the APB and the Compact Council are onboard. CJIS timeline will be made transparent for a better understanding by the public. ICE will need to be more transparent with their outreach.

stated that states/cities are not mandated to participate in I/O. stated that she is not aware of any agencies that have stated they do not want to participate.

stated CJIS position is to support ICE. She stated that the Director is aware of Secure Communities questions and is prepared to answer them. ICE’s Secure Communities can delay or defer, and CJIS will follow the deployment plan but in 2013, Interoperability will happen.

Deactivating

stated that Colorado asked this question, but it is up to ICE to assure them and answer their questions.

Cooke County, IL

The SIB stated that they require something in writing from the agencies that do not wish to participate. Mr. stated that CJIS could support the SAC by providing assistance to the LEA’s. Mr. stated that CJIS needs to ensure that Cooke County does not have an ordinance preventing them from participating. The SIBs need to be consulted.
asked if Cooke County was on. stated no. stated that the county would not respond to the ORI. stated that in July of 2009, the county said that all of the ORIs were fine, but then they wanted to remove the Chicago PD because the stated office discovered an ordinance. They will not send it to CJIS.

stated that Mr. has said that when NGI deploys, all CAR transactions will be sent.

**SC Touch Base Meeting**
The next meeting is scheduled for 8/31 from 2 – 3 p.m.

**Working Group Meeting**
The next WG Meeting is scheduled for 9/16 from 1 – 3 p.m. The Agenda will include:

- LEA Centric vs Jurisdiction Deployment Approaches
- ORI Validation Process

The above listed documents did not make it up management and were sent back to the WG.

stated that if ICE wants to do LEA Centric, then they will be responsible for it. CJIS will deal with the SIB. stated that she is not comfortable with ICE managing the ORIs. stated that they will not be managing the ORIs, but they will be responsible for ensuring the clean up. asked who was responsible for the original list of the ORIs. stated that it was Secure Communities.

stated that the draft of the Individual LEA/ORI Deployment draft will be cleaned up by CJIS and sent out.

stated that she was nervous about how CJIS was being represented in the LEA meetings since CJIS has stopped attending them. stated that the APB is aware of I/O and is responsible for presenting it to the state LE. stated that if CJIS gives incorrect information regarding the ORIs original list, then it is CJISs problem. This determines who is responsible the first time something goes wrong.

stated that had asked why CJIS does not do the LEAs. She stated that we will do a two-page summarization of the ORIs. stated that CJIS should only work with one POC which is the state. CJIS will not be involved in any cleanup. That will be ICEs responsibility.

**Dashboard Calendar Comments**
Secure Communities had no comments.

**POC Updates**
No updates.
The next internal Secure Communities meeting is scheduled for 9/13, due to the holiday on 9/6.

Meeting adjourned.

**Action Items**

- [Name] stated that the participants are to read the handouts and send comments in the comment sheet.